

| | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|--|
| <i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i> | Application No. 10/521,659 | Applicant(s) BRUEKERS, ALPHONS ANTONIUS | |
| | Examiner HO SHIU | Art Unit 2457 | |

All Participants:

(1) HO SHIU.

(2) Tom Kocovsky Reg # 28,383.

Date of Interview: 19 February 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
1-3, 8-9

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 3:30 PM

/Ho Ting Shiu/
Examiner, Art Unit 2457

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A call was placed to applicant's attorney Tom Kocovsky Reg # 28,383 for a proposed examiner's amendment in order to place the application in condition for allowance. Authorization was given by applicant's attorney Tom Kocovsky Reg # 28,383 on 02/19/2010.